



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/046,924	01/14/2002	Sylvaine Cases	UCAL-240CIP	4706	
24353 7	24353 7590 09/16/2004			EXAMINER	
BOZICEVIC, FIELD & FRANCIS LLP 1900 UNIVERSITY AVE SUITE 200			HUTSON, RICHARD G		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
EAST PALO A	ALTO, CA 94303		1652		
			DATE MAILED: 09/16/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisom Astion	10/046,924	CASES ET AL.			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Richard G. Hutson	1652			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe					
THE REPLY FILED 17 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing d					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adviewent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 1706.07(f).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE	fthe final rejection. EFINAL REJECTION. See MPEP			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action: or (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 10 August 2004. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	eause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	(s) a)∏ will not be entered or bould be rejected is provided belo)⊠ will be entered and an own or appended.			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,7-10 and 24-27.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 3-6 and 11-	<u>23</u> .				
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:					
hetel Atul					
		Richard G Hutson, Ph.D. Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1652			

Application No.

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 110/046,924

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicants proposed amendment of claims 1 and 27 changing the claimed genus from those polynucleotides having 90% sequence identity to those having 95% and 98% sequence identity, respectively, would necessitate further consideration and search after final rejection.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the rejections of record remain given the non-entry of applicants proposed amendment for the reasons previously stated.